FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET (PRIVATE)

7/13/2005 Date.

Date. 1/15/2005		
{PRIVATE }TO:	Michael Won	RECEIVED
COMPANY/FIRM:	USPTO	CENTRAL FAX CENTER
PHONE NO.:	571-272-3993	JUL 1 3 2005
FACSIMILE NO.:	703-872-9306	

{PRIVATE }FROM:	Anatoly Frenkel
COMPANY/FIRM:	Ware, Fressola, Van Der Sluys & Adolphson LLP
PHONE NO.:	(203)-261-1234
FACSIMILE NO.:	(203)261-5676

CONFIRMATION BY MAIL:

Number of pages (including this transmittal page):

If facsimile transmission is incomplete, please notify sender at (203)261-1234.

WARE, FRESSOLA, VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING FIVE 755 MAIN STREET, MONROE, CONNECTICUT 06468 TELEPHONE NO. (203) 261-1234 FACSIMILE NO. (203) 261-5676

COMMENTS

Our Ref: 944-004.031, Application No: 10/601,278

Dear Mr. Won:

Per our phone conversation I want to confirm a telephone interview on July 27, 2005 at 1 a.m. on the above case. Below I outlined issues we want to discuss.

The applicant is of the opinion that the limitation of claim 1 (and of other independent claims) of the present invention, stating that "said URL signal providing an internet server (32) location of software obtainable by the receiving terminal (22)" is not described by Kirani or Beyda. Kirani talks about storage address for e-mail messages for subsequent delivery to the user which is not the same as providing an internet server (32) location of "software" and using said software for rendering the multimedia message, as recited in claim 1 of the present invention. In other words, Kirani does not teach providing such software location for rendering the multimedia message which (said multimedia message) is directly sent to the user (and not stored in the storage location as taught by Kirani), whereas Bedya only teaches how to get this software, but nobody tells Bedya where to get it. Please provide further explanations and suggestions.

In the response to Arguments section on page 13, the Examiner states that "Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims". We do not clearly understand this statement. Please provide further explanations.

Best Regards, Anatoly Frenkel

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE IS CONFIDENTIAL AND MAY ALSO BE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED. THE INFORMATION IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE AGENT OR EMPLOYEE RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HERBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY USE, DISSEMBLATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS FACSIMILE IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE RECEIVED MESSAGE TO US AT THE ADDRESS ABOVE VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.